The Nationalist

THE VOICE OF
DEMOCRATIC NATIONALISM

Vol. 2, No. 4 April 1986 50¢

"Truth is the most powerful propaganda." — J.F.C. Fuller

Oil War Threatens New Economic Crisis

Oil price war threatens to trigger a collapse of the American banking system.

For years Sheik Ahmad Yamani, Saudi Arabia's Oil Minister, had threatened his fellow OPEC ministers that if they did not stop cheating on their production quotas then he would vastly increase Saudi oil production to drive the price down to a point where they could not make money. And for years, Arabia did just the opposite; it cut its oil production time and again to compensate for the over production of OPEC and non-OPEC oil producers. The Saudis desperately tried to keep the price of oil (its only real resource) above $30 per barrel by cutting its production down from 10 million barrels a day in 1975 to 2 million in 1985. But in December of 1985, Saudi strategy changed, most likely because it became convinced that it would have to punish other oil producers in order to restore its authority over the world market.

In December the Saudis began to increase their oil production greatly and by March it had reached 4.3 million barrels per day setting the world awash in cheap oil. The price dropped from $28 per barrel in December to $14 in March. The Saudis have hinted that they can make a profit at $8 a barrel and that if other oil producers do not agree to its quotas then the market will fall to that price.

In free competition, the sort that Reagan and Thatcher say they favor, the Saudis can drive the rest of the world's oil producers into bankruptcy with the possible exceptions of the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. The reasons for this are

See ECONOMIC, Page 3

U.S. Replaces Old Corrupt With New Corrupt in the Philippines

In the Marcos affair the U.S. once again displayed to the world the seediness and hypocrisy of its foreign policy. In the case of the Philippines it did not backfire, at least not yet, because the new regime is as corrupt as the old regime and hence just as dependent on the U.S. for support.

Last year Vice President Bush traveled to the Philippines and praised Marcos as one of the world's great democrats. This year the Administration decided that Marcos was a dictator. Why the change? Because our intelligence decided that Marcos would not last much longer in the Philippines and we wanted to court the likely winners. So did we tell Marcos that we were abandoning him? Not at all. Instead, just before the last election, we leaked embarassing information about his war record and about his incredibly extensive holdings in the U.S. Such information we have had for many years, but it did not seem relevant until Marcos ceased to be a "democrat", or rather until it became obvious that he could not hold power much longer. The CIA also no doubt told Marcos' top military leaders that the U.S. would no longer support him and that finished him.

The Marcos regime was typical of the sort of government that the U.S. likes to support. Its leaders understood the language of money,

See PHILIPPINES, Page 6

LETTERS

Dear Mr. Gallo:

Thank you for the sample copies of **The Nationalist.** I agree with all you say but I cannot see any future for you, especially now that the Genocide Convention has been passed by the Senate. In the distant future when the American people really have been badly hurt and have suffered maybe then they will get together, arise and fight the oppresssors. But as long as they are still fat and and sassy there is no chance that they will fight. It is a fact that only suffering will make a people get up and break its chains . Look at Germany after world War I. Only deepest suffering made the Germans get together and throw the Jews out, who, as we all know are the root of our problems. I am a subscriber to all types of publications, IHR, Instauration, etc. But all they can offer are words with which the subscriber agrees but nobody wants to ACT. If you know some other way out of this mess, convince me.

Sincerely,
M. West
Carmel NY

Editor- How much did our revolutionary forefathers suffer before they rose up against the Britsh? Most of the leaders of the American Revolution were well to do plantation owners and middle class lawyers. The French Revolution was a middle class uprising led mostly by lawyers. How much did these lawyers suffer at the hands of the Bourbon kings? Very little. In fact, economic hardship is only one factor in the causation of revolutions, and a much overrated factor at that.

The American people will revolt when they realize that their leaders have betrayed them and are out to exploit their positions to plunder the country for their own benefit. Once the people understand that the political System is inherently corrupt and not dedicated to the interests of the Nation they will look for an alternative. Our job is to tell them the truth and to organize them for revolution when they begin to see the light. Our enemies are masters of deception and they control most of the means of communication, so our mission is not an easy one. But we must have a national revolutionary organization in being and operating so that when the people do turn they will turn to us and not to the Communists and not to political or religious charlatans. One never knows what the future holds, so we must be prepared for any eventuality. There could be a revolution 5 years from now or one 50 years from now. We should remember that in 1916 Lenin told his tiny band of Bolsheviks in Switzerland that, "We must recognize that we will not see a revolution in Russia in our lifetimes." Even a professional revolutionary cannot predict when his time will come. But when it does come he had better be ready for it because most do not get a second chance. The NDF will be ready when its time arrives.

With all due respect, Mr. West, it is we who are ACTING and you who are not. With supporters such as yourself a movement does not need enemies to fail. You should stick with the IHR and Instauration.

Dear Mr. Gallo:

Have received the interesting material you sent about the ideas of the National Democratic Front.

For a long time I have been primarily concerned with the preservation of race and nation. In the doing of this the first and most important step is the sealing of the nation's borders which should have been done years ago to stop the Third World illegal invasion. This step to be accompanied by another dedicated to the systematic deportation of all illegal aliens already in the country. The longer this is deferred the more diificult becomes the realization of any of the ideas advanced by your organization. Therefore, I not only suggest you include this in your program, but that you give it top priority.

Also with respect to race and nation, and the power of self-determination, it is suggested the negroes be given the opportunity to resettle in their African homelands as a much better means of solving the problem. This was Abraham Lincoln's solution and had he lived would very possibly been realized. Yet the idea persists and to this day and is being strongly pushed by the American Colonization Society which has been recently revived. Their address is P.O. Box 8340, New Fairchild, CT 06812.

Sincerely,
Drew L. Smith
New Orleans LA

Editor- I am afraid that I must disagree with you in regard to the immigration issue. When the NDF comes to power the races will be separated into their own independent nations, and then and only then will it be possible to prevent illegal immigration from a non-White country. Because illegal Blacks and Hispanics can so easily lose themselves in our multiracial society it is impossible to stem the flow of illegal immigrants. Even placing our entire army and our entire navy on our southern border would not solve the problem because our armed forces are not large enough to completely seal off more than 3000 miles of border and coastline. Stronger immigration laws would prove absolutely futile. Revolution, not evolution, is the answer.

Economic Crisis Looms Ahead

the extent of Saudi oil wealth, low production costs and a tiny population (5 million) which requires little income to support it. Britain, Mexico, Venezuela, and Nigeria have large populations and are absolutely dependent on their oil revenues. For example, one fourth of Britain's total governmental revenue comes from the North Sea oilfields. And Britain cannot make money if the price falls below $12 per barrel. If the Saudis pursue their strategy aggressively Thatcher will lose her taste for the free market and Britain will beg for Saudi dictated quotas or beg for American aid to see her through the oil war.

But America will be in no mood to give aid because our own economy will go into a crisis if the Saudi game continues for long. The New York Stock Market jumped from 1300 points in December to 1800 points in March reflecting the opinion of most economic analysts that the drop in oil is good for American business. But as B.H. Liddell Hart once wrote, "The conventional opinion is almost always wrong," and it certainly is in this case. The drop in oil prices will be good for those American businesses that do not export or that do not face foreign competition, and it will be good for the American consumer, as long as he has a job. But the sad fact is that such a dramatic decrease in energy costs can only help our primary competitors in the long run because it is they who are most dependent on foreign oil. Japan must import over 90% of its oil and Germany imports over 80%. They have traditionally paid much more for energy than we have and yet they have beaten the pants off us in trade competition. The oil war will only futher enhance their trading positions as their energy costs will drop in much greater proportion than will ours.

Last year our trade deficit reached a record $148.5 billion, which means that we bought that much more from other countries than we sold. In an effort to reduce the value of the dollar and bring down this enormous deficit, the governments of Germany, Japan and the U.S. agreed in 1985 to enter the financial markets to buy yen and marks and sell dollars. This buying is done secretly through financial agents so no one knows how much has been comitted to the effort but some experts say that over $10 billion dollars has been spent thus far, and though the dollar has dropped it is still nowhere near the level we will need to reestablish a proper trade balance. That can only occur when American bankers agree to drop their interest rates to world levels, and they are loathe to do that because it will mean that foreign money will cease to flow into their coffers.

The latest example of the irresponsibility of American bankers occurred in mid-March when the Federal Reserve Board agreed to lower interest rates by a half-point only if Germany and Japan did likewise first. The Federal Reserve acts as our central bank, but it is run by bankers and so always acts to protect the interests of the banks not the interests of the nation. In this case while Japan dropped its interest rate from 3.5% to 3%, and Germany from 4% to 3.5%. the U.S. dropped its rate from 7.5% to 7%. In other words, Japan dropped its interest rate by 14%, Germany by 11%, and the U.S. by only 6%. Why did not the U.S. drop its rate by 14%? Because the bankers want that foreign money and because they are somewhat concerned about inflation which makes past loans at fixed rates less valuable. These bankers do not give a damn about making American business competitive again, so they will keep their rates above all others come hell or high water.

The bankers also want to keep as much money in their hands because they see big trouble brewing as a result of the oil price war. If the Saudis do not let up soon, the economies of Mexico, Venezuela, and Nigeria will be destroyed and these nations owe U.S. banks vast sums of money. If they default it could trigger the collapse of many American banks including some of the largest. Add to these the failures of banks in the oil states, Alaska, Texas, Oklahoma, and Louisiana, whose economies will also be ravaged, and continuing bank failures

Continued from Page 1

King Fahd of Saudi Arabia with Reagan. These two will not be smiling the next time they meet if the Saudis continue to increase their oil production.

in the farm states. Further add in the 461 Savings and Loans which the Federal government admits are presently insolvent, but which the government cannot close down because it does not have the money. The banks will run to the U.S. government to bail them out just as Continental Illinois (4th largest bank at the time) did two years ago. But the U.S. government owes more than $2 trillion and now runs a yearly deficit of over $200 billion, so where will the money for the great bail out come from?

It might be prudent for us to ask our elders how one survives during a great depression.

The Nationalist welcomes manuscripts of articles for possible publication, as well as Letters to the Editor. No payment is made for articles published and they become copyright of The Nationalist. The Editor reserves the right to amend articles. No one may reprint articles from The Nationalist without the Editor's permission.

Gary Gallo, Editor
Matt Malone, Assistant Editor
Robert Landt, Graphics Editor

Huey Long: The Movie

The documentary film entitled **Huey Long** which is now playing in theaters around the country is poorly done but well worth seeing for several reasons. The first is that Long was one of the few American political leaders who actually devoted himself to bettering the condition of the ordinary citizen and so is one of the few that deserve our respect. Moreover he suceeded in his mission, at least in Louisiana, despite the fanatical and ruthless resistance of the wealthy ruling class who hated him and who eventually murdered him before he could transform the nation with his program of social justice.

Long became governor of Lousiana in the 1920's by appealing to the people who did not normally vote. He championed the cause of the common man and fiercely attacked the rich special interests that controlled the state for their own benefit, particularly the oil companies, the banks and the corrupt politicians and newspaper editors who served and protected the interests of the wealthy. In every election Huey was denounced by every major newspaper and radio station in Louisiana. Yet he was able to get his message out to the people through his own printing and distribution network. His campaigns were based on mobile printing presses and sound trucks which brought his helpers, handbills and speeches to even the most isolated sections of Louisiana.

The film presents interviews with historians, writers, wealthy enemies and poor admirers of Huey Long and feigns to give a balanced view of the man. But in fact no one is pressed for facts to back up their opinions on Long. For example his enemies, including the liberal "historian" Arthur Schlesinger, all claim that Huey and his administration were corrupt. Schlesinger, you remember, was the historical expert who announced grandly to the nation during the Watergate Crisis that liberal leaders such as Franklin Roosevelt and John Kennedy would never have secretly taped people in the White House as Nixon did. He was very soon embarassed by revelations that both did in fact make secret audio tapings in the White House, yet here he is giving us more "authoritative" hogwash on the supposed corruption of Huey Long. The facts are that Franklin Roosevelt, Joe Robinson (the Democratic Majority Leader) and Long's enemies in Louisiana conspired to have him investigated over and over again and could never come up with anything. Long was investigated by the FBI, IRS and one Congressional committee after another in an attempt to find some dirt which they could use to undermine Long's credibility. All of the investigators left Louisiana frustrated and embittered with Long's foes in the state because they could not back up their allegations with evidence. Huey did not have to be corrupt because he eventually acquired almost complete control of the legislature and could make anything he wanted happen just by having a new law passed. His power over the legislature was based on his enormous popularity. If a politician opposed him Huey would campaign hard in the next election to unseat him. Few were willing to take the risk.

Huey Long attacking the rich and powerful at the 1932 Democratic Convention.

When Long became Governor, Louisiana was one of the poorest and most backward states in the Union. Huey completely overhauled the public school system and provided free textbooks and lunches to the students for the first time. He established special adult education programs to teach the illiterate to read. He vastly expanded the state's public health facilities so that all could get medical treat-

ment. He built thousands of miles of paved roads and hundreds of bridges which brought the state into the 20th century and provided jobs for the unemployed.

In the film one of Long's enemies makes the claim that every $100 million worth of highways cost the taxpayers $150 million. A startling statement, and yet the interviewer does not press for evidence. In fact the taxpayers who paid for Louisiana's progress were the rich and the big corporations as their taxes were raised while the poor and middle class paid less tax, and that is why the wealthy screamed that Long was a dictator. No longer did they dictate who got government jobs, who got special tax breaks, and who got government subsidies. Now it was Huey who dictated and he would not play the old corrupt game with the plutocrats. He was determined to rule for the people and he could not be compromised. That is why they talked constantly of killing him, as is admitted in the film.

In the film Huey's wealthy enemies say that he was a dictator and was anti-democratic, and unfortunately the inept interviewer does not press them on the obvious contradiction. Long was enormously popular with the people of Louisiana. He was doing those things which they wanted done and which previous governments and suceeding governments refused to do because the patrician class opposed them. If democracy is supposed to represent the will of the people who then were the real democrats, Long or his opponents? It is an historical fact that a leader dedicated to his people needs virtually dictatorial powers in order to overcome the the inherent strength of the rich ruling class, and that when the patricians hypocritcally scream "dictator" what they are really yelling about is the dimunition of their power to exploit the people to maintain their wealth and privileges. Huey Long was the first American political leader to expose the scam by which the rich rule for their own benefit and the first to undo it.

The exciting parts of the film are the clips of Huey speaking and one should see the movie if only for that. But one should be aware of several important omissions in the documentary. There is no interview with the LSU professor T. Harry Williams who wrote the finest biography on Huey entitled **Huey Long**. This book is considered the definitive work on Long and we strongly recommend that you read it. It is fascinating, well researched and fairly objective.

Further, the film does not mention the national radio speech that Huey gave as a member of the U.S. Senate on February 23, 1934. In it he announced the establishment of the Share Our Wealth Society which was his alternative to the Democratic and Republican Parties and was designed to be the organizational base for his run for President in 1936. The SOW program was a plan to redistribute wealth in America. Its primary provisions were: 1) to impose a capital -levy tax that would prevent a family from owning more than $5 million or 300 times the average holding of an American family, 2) to impose an income tax that would prohibit a family from earning more than $1 million per year or more than 300 times the average family income, 3) with the revenue derived from these taxes the government would provide every family with a "homestead" of $5000 or enough for a home, car, radio and ordinary conveniences, 4) the government would guarantee every family an income equal to one-third of the average family income, 5) the aged would receive monthly pensions, 6) youths of proven ability would be given free college education, 7) the work week would be limited to 30 hours a week in order to increase the numbers employed and spread the burden of unemployment, and 8) the government would purchase agricultural surpluses and store them to balance farm supply with demand.

The SOW movement spread like wildfire and clubs were established in every state. By the end of the year it had 3 million members and by April 1935 Huey's Senate office was receiving over 60,000 letters a week and after one speech he was deluged with 140,000 letters. His enemies were stunned and frightened. Roosevelt called him the most dangerous man in America. In the summer of 1935 Long's staff announced that they had 4,684,000 members and a mailing list of 7,682,000.

The patricians knew that Long had to be stopped or their world would come to an end. They spoke incessantly of killing him. Huey predicted that he would be assassinated but he did not let the thought deter him from his program. On September 9, 1935 Huey shared the fate of his Roman predecessors who had championed the plebian cause against the patricians as he was assassinated. His murderer was Dr. Carl Weiss, a Jew.

Jackson Fights Drug Use – And Loses

It has been a year since Jesse Jackson began speaking in high schools around the country on the subject of drugs. Usually he hits two or three high schools a week with a speech that is almost universally effective in getting most kids to admit that they use drugs and to say that they will try to stop.

In his chanting and rythmic style, Jackson gets the high school crowd to say "no to drugs, no to liquor, no to making babies without love and no to violence". He asks those who use drugs to admit it by walking down from their seats to the stage, and in most schools there is an extraordinary response. In a magnet school in New Orleans 600 students out of the crowd of 1000 came down and admitted that they used drugs. Then, according to Jackson, "Thirty-five teachers came down, and then the principal got up. So the superintendent put his head between his legs and wept. He couldn't fire everybody."

In another high school in Chica-

See JACKSON, Page 7

Philippines: Wealthy Elite Still in Control

From Page 1

and they did not let principles based on social justice or nationalism stand in their way of amassing huge fortunes from U.S. aid, foreign contractors, and internal theft. Such men are people that U.S. politicians can trust because they all speak the same language. Like their U.S. counterparts ,they are dedicated to "the good life". The behavior of such foreign leaders is predictable because they will respond to tugs on their purse strings whereas ideological leaders will not.

The U.S. definitely does not like democratic governments that elect socialists or Marxists who want to curb American economic power in their countries. Such democratically elected leaders usually end up dead. For example, the CIA organized military revolts against Jacobo Arbenz in Guatamala, and Salvador Allende in Chile both of whom were killed; and then the U.S. fully supported the brutal repression that those military regimes had to use to crush out the resistance of their enraged peoples.

And so while claiming to support "democracy" and "human rights", the U.S. in fact backs plutocratic regimes that plunder their people under the guise of the law. Most of these governments hold elections,

Juan Ponce Enrile. He slithered from being Defense Minister under Marcos to being Defense Minister under Acquino as the two regimes are not much different.

as did Marcos, but the elections are structured in such a way that the opposition cannot win. In some countries the names of the rulers actually change; in Mexico and Korea for example power is passed from one plutocrat to another but all come from the same ruling circle and so can be trusted to exploit the people for the benefit of the wealthy. The U.S. calls these "democratic governments" and will continue to call them that until it looks like they are about to be deposed, then they become dictatorships.

The Taiwan government is another example of a "democratic government" that supports "human rights". Chiang Kai Shek, after losing control in China, took over Taiwan and later passed control on to his son, in good democratic fashion. The Chiangs never did take kindly to criticism in the press so there is none in Taiwan. But there is in the Chinese community in the U.S., at least there was until the government decided to murder Henry Liu last year in California. Liu was a respected journalist and a powerful critic of the corrupt practices of the Chiang family. In a mock trial in Taiwan, a low level intelligence official was convicted of the killing. That, of course, satisfied the U.S. which does not like to get carried away on the human rights issue, at least when it comes to allies, particularly those that have stable governments.

Such hypocritical foreign policy can only benefit our enemies in the long run. In the Philippines, for example, the new "revolutionary" government of Mrs. Aquino consists of Marcos' former Defense chief, Marcos' former Chief of Staff, and 13 rich lawyers, who apparently did not suffer too badly under the Marcos regime. Her 5 other top appointees are rich businessmen. In order to demonstrate the support the new government has abroad, the Foreign Minister threw a party for all of the ambassadors in Manila that was so lavish that it made Marcos' videotaped parties look amateurish in their decadence. And as with Marcos' parties, the ever present head of the Philippine



Former President Ferdinand E. Marcos. U.S. knifed him in the back when he began to lose his grip, in a betrayal which he richly deserved.

Catholic Church, Cardinal Sin, was in attendence. The man just won't miss a good party.

The people of the Philippines will soon realize that they are back in the hands of the plutocrats, and gradually they will turn, however reluctantly, to their only alternative, the Communist New People's Army. The NPA has managed to survive and grow in the Philippines despite receiving no outside support from China or Russia, and despite the tremendous military aid we have sent to the Philippines.

Under the NDF the foreign policy of America will be to support genuine nationalist movements that are determined to destroy the plutocrats as well as the communists. Only true nationalists will set up governments dedicated to social justice and to the welfare of their people. And only such governments make strong and dependable allies in the long run.

Jackson's Anti-Drug Message Leaves no Lasting Effect

Continued from Page 5

go only 5 kids came down and Jackson knew something was wrong. Later, one of the students told him,"Reverend we appreciate your talk so much. But teachers and the security guards are selling it. So while you were talking to us the teachers were giving us the eye. We were afraid. We couldn't move."

It should first be said that Jesse Jackson is honestly trying to do something about drugs in this country and in that he deserves more credit than the System's White politicians. Unfortunately, Jackson does what he does in typically Black fashion - it is show over substance. Two days after Jackson leaves a school the excitement generated by his visit dissipates and nothing changes. He does not leave people from his organization behind to counsel those that admit to using drugs. They are not there to guide the children into alternative groups, or alternative activities and environments to get them away from their drug life-styles. Nor does Jackson leave people behind to start up anti-drug organizations. Instead, he says he relies on teachers, principals and parents to follow through. This is perfectly absurd because teachers, principals and parents have proven that they are either unable to handle the problem or just do not care. So once Jackson leaves, the children fall back into the hands of the dealers. Even when Blacks see the right answer, they are unable to set up organizations that will institutionalize the solution. This lack of organizational ability has plagued them throughout history, and it will condemn Jackson's crusade against drugs to failure.

Jesse Jackson. Unfortunately his drug crusade is doomed to failure by a lack of organization.

ESSENTIAL READING FOR THE NATIONALIST:



THE RESTORATION OF PROPERTY
by Hillaire Belloc, 78pp., $8.00.
This is a crucial work on the alternative to capitalism and communism by one of the founders of the Distributist Movement.



YESTERDAY & TOMORROW
Edited by Rising Press, 96pp., $8.00
An excellent compilation of some of the best Nationalist writings of the twentieth century.



THE POLITICAL SOLDIER
BY Derek Holland, 16pp., $3.00.
This inspirational pamphlet explains the Political Soldier concept and why the Nationalist Movement needs such men.

Make all checks payable to: The Nationalist, Suite L-290, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877.



Dealers in DEATH

MOST OF OUR PEOPLE now believe that they shoud live life only for their own happiness, and that pleasure is happiness. That is why our society is drug-soaked, and that is why more and more of our children ruin their lives and the lives of their families through drug use. Each year more and more of our people die from drugs and those who use them.

THE FBI SAYS that Organized Crime's income from drugs alone is now $60 billion a year, and that the use of heroin and cocaine is on the rise. That drug money gives the Mafia the ability to buy all the cops, lawyers, bankers, judges and politicians it needs to survive, prosper and distort justice in America. The drug dealers feel so secure that they are now brazen enough to sell even to elementary school students on school grounds.

THERE IS ONLY ONE SOLUTION and history points the way. In the 1800's British Capitalists addicted millions of Chinese to opium. But in 1949 the Communists took power and eradicated drug use by rounding up and executing the drug dealers. It is time to learn from our enemies. The only answer to our problem is the complete extermination of the criminal class, and the National Democratic Front will carry out the necessary program.

For more information write or call: NDF, Suite L-290, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877; (301) 670-0159.

NDF Supports Glenn Miller for Senate

Glenn Miller is the organizer and leader of the White Patriot Party which is based in North Carolina. Miller's party can put out on the streets hundreds of members dressed in camoflage uniform for demonstrations and parades. The most recent major parade being that against the Martin Luther King Holiday. He is at present one of the most effective racialist organizers in the country.

Glenn Miller. One of the most effective racialist leaders in America.

Miller is running for the U.S. Senate in the Republican primary elections for North Carolina which are scheduled for May 6th. Miller's message is tailored to a Southern audience and he advocates Southern separation. Therefore, he is not a nationalist, but he is a racialist and so the National Democratic Front has decided to support his election. We urge all our members and supporters to send a contribution to Glenn Miller's election campaign at the following address: Route 1, Box 386, Angier, North Carolina. For those of you in or near North Carolina we urge that you contact Miller and offer your services as a campaign worker.